THE IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS OF $Hilb^{4n}(\mathbb{P}^3)$

GERD GOTZMANN

ABSTRACT. It is shown that $\operatorname{Hilb}^{4n}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ has exactly two irreducible components.

INTRODUCTION. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let $\mathbf{H} = H_{4,1} = \mathrm{Hilb}^{4n}(\mathbb{P}^3_k)$ denote the Hilbert scheme parametrizing the curves of degree 4 and genus 1, i.e. the closed subschemes of \mathbb{P}^3 with Hilbert polynomial 4n. Vainsencher and Avritzer have given an explicit description of that component H_{VA} of \mathbf{H} , which contains the points corresponding to complete intersections of two quadrics, and which shows that this component is smooth. From a general theorem of Reeves and Stillman it follows that there is a unique component H_{RS} of \mathbf{H} , which contains the lexicographic point. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following

Theorem. \mathbf{H}_{red} consists of the two irreducible components H_{VA} and H_{RS} of dimension 16 and 23 respectively.

This result is similar to a result of Piene and Schlessinger, who showed that $\operatorname{Hilb}^{3n+1}(\mathbb{P}^3_k)$ consists of two irreducible components, too. They showed moreover that these components as well as their intersection are smooth. It would be very interesting to know, if the same is true for $\mathbf{H} = H_{4,1}$, for then, as in the case of $H_{3,0}$, it would follow that $H^1(\mathbf{H}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H}}) = (0)$ and $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathbf{H}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^3$, if $k = \mathbb{C}$. (The computation of the cohomology of the structure sheaf of $\operatorname{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$ and of its Picard group had been achieved by Fogarty and Iarrobino, respectively; see the introductions of [F1] and [F2].)

1. The Borel ideals in **H**

A curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3 = \operatorname{Proj} P$, P = k[x, y, z, t], of degree 4 and genus 1 is defined by an ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q(T) = \binom{T-1+3}{3} + \binom{T-5+2}{2} + \binom{T-6+1}{1}$. There are 4 such ideals \mathcal{B}_i , $3 \leq i \leq 6$, which are invariant under the action of the Borel group B(4, k) on P, and which we call Borel ideals. The regularity of \mathcal{B}_i is i and $\varphi_i(n) = h^0(\mathcal{B}_i(n))$ denotes the Hilbert function:

$$\mathcal{B}_{3} = (x^{2}, xy, y^{3}), \varphi_{3} = (0, 0, 2, 8, 19, 36, 60, 92, \cdots)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{4} = (x^{2}, xy, xz^{2}, y^{4}), \varphi_{4} = (0, 0, 2, 8, 19, 36, \cdots)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{5} = (x^{2}, xy, xz, y^{5}, y^{4}z), \varphi_{5} = (0, 0, 3, 9, 19, 36, \cdots)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{6} = (x, y^{5}, y^{4}z^{2}), \varphi_{6} = (0, 1, 4, 10, 20, 36, \cdots)$$

Date: November 14, 2008.

2. The stratification of \mathbf{H} by means of the regularity

A general theorem of Galligo says that for each ideal \mathcal{I} and general element g of GL(4, k) the initial ideal of $g(\mathcal{I})$ (in the inverse lexicographic order) is a Borel ideal. A theorem of Bayer and Stillman says that \mathcal{I} and the generic initial ideal of \mathcal{I} have the same regularity and the same Hilbert function. (For all these general results, cf.[Gre].)

Let R_i be the locally closed set of ideals in **H** with regularity i. We take the R_i as subschemes of **H** with the induced reduced scheme structure, and we consider each time an ideal $\mathcal{I} \in R_i$ and put $I_n = H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}(n))$ and $I = \bigoplus_{n>0} I_n$.

2.1. **Description of** R_3 . ¿From the Hilbert function φ_3 we see that $I_2 = \langle f, g \rangle$ with two forms $f, g \in P_2$. If f and g have no common divisor, then it is well known that the ideal (f,g) has the Hilbert function φ_3 . If we suppose that f and g have a common divisor, we can write $f = \ell \ell_1, g = \ell \ell_1$ where $\ell, \ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots$ always denote nonzero linear forms in P. As dim $P_1I_2 = 7$, one has $I_3 = P_1I_2 + f \cdot k$. Here the form $f \in P_3$ is not divisible by ℓ , because then $I \subset (\ell)$ would follow. (As $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I}) = 3$, one has $P_nI_3 = I_{n+3}, n \geq 0$.) $I_4 = P_2I_2 + P_1f$ has the dimension 19, dim $P_2I_2 = 16$, dim $fP_1 = 4$, and therefore dim $P_2I_2 \cap fP_1 = 1$ follows. As f is not divisible by ℓ , it follows that $f \in (\ell_1, \ell_2)_3$.

Proposition 2.1. \mathcal{I} has the regularity 3 iff

- 1. $\mathcal{I} = (f, g), f, g \in P_2$ without a common divisor, or
- 2. $\mathcal{I} = (\ell\ell_1, \ell\ell_2, f)$, where ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are linear independent and $f \in (\ell_1, \ell_2)_3$ is not divisible by ℓ .

Proof. It remains to show that in the second case \mathcal{I} has the Hilbert function φ_3 . Because of $\ell(\ell_1,\ell_2)_{n-1} \cap fP_{n-3} = \ell fP_{n-4}$ one has dim $I_n = \binom{n-2+3}{3} + \binom{n-2+2}{2} + \binom{n}{3} - \binom{n-1}{3} = Q(n) = \varphi_3(n), n \geq 4$.

2.2. **Description of** R_4 . The same argumentation as in (2.1) shows that $I_2 = \ell(\ell_1, \ell_2)_1$ and $I_3 = \ell(\ell_1, \ell_2)_2 + f \cdot k$. Suppose that f is not divisible by ℓ . If $f \notin (\ell_1, \ell_2)_3$ then $\ell(\ell_1, \ell_2)_3 \cap f P_1 = (0)$ and $I_4 \supset \ell(\ell_1, \ell_2)_3 + f P_1$ would have a dimension ≥ 20 , contradiction. If $f \in (\ell_1, \ell_2)_3$, then I would contain the ideal $J := (\ell\ell_1, \ell\ell_2, f)$ which according to Proposition 2.1 would have the Hilbert function $\varphi_3 = \varphi_4$ and regularity 3, contradiction. It follows that $f = \ell q$ with $q \in P_2$, but $q \notin (\ell_1, \ell_2)_2$. The ideal $J := \ell(\ell_1, \ell_2, q)$ has the Hilbert polynomial $\binom{n-2+3}{3} + \binom{n-2+2}{2} + \binom{n-3+1}{1}$, which agrees with the Hilbert function φ of \tilde{J} if $n \geq 2$. From $\varphi(4) = 18$ it follows that $I_4 = J_4 + p \cdot k, p \in P_4$. From $I_5 = J_5 + pP_1$, dim $I_5 = 36$, dim $J_5 = 33$ it follows that dim $J_5 \cap pP_1 = 1$. Here p can not be divisible by ℓ , as otherwise $I \subset (\ell)$ would follow. Therefore $J_5 \cap pP_1 = \ell p \cdot k$ and thus $p \in (\ell_1, \ell_2, q)_4$ follows.

Proposition 2.2. \mathcal{I} has the regularity 4 iff $\mathcal{I} = (\ell(\ell_1, \ell_2, q), p)$, where $q \in P_2, q \notin (\ell_1, \ell_2)_2, p \in (\ell_1, \ell_2, q)_4$ and $p \notin (\ell)$.

Proof. It remains to show that an ideal of this shape has the Hilbert function φ_4 . As $J_n \cap pP_{n-4} = \ell pP_{n-5}$, it follows that dim $I_n = \varphi(n) + \binom{n-4+3}{3} - \binom{n-5+3}{3} = Q(n) = \varphi_4(n)$ for $n \geq 4$.

We determine some other properties of R_4 . Put $q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-1+2}{2} + \binom{n-2+1}{1}$. Each ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ with Hilbert polynomial q(n) is 2-regular, and thus has the form $(\ell_1, \ell_2, q), q \in P_2/(\ell_1, \ell_2)_2$. The mapping $(\ell_1, \ell_2, q) \mapsto \langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle$ makes the Hilbert scheme H_q into a projective bundle over $\operatorname{Grass}^2(P_1)$ with fibres isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 . Thus H_q is a 6-dimensional variety. We consider the following morphisms:

Put $X := \mathbb{P}(P_1) \times H_q \times \operatorname{Grass}^{19}(P_4)$ and define $f_1 : X \to \mathcal{X}_1 := \operatorname{Grass}^{18}(P_4) \times \operatorname{Grass}^{19}(P_4) \times \operatorname{Grass}^{19}(P_4) \times \operatorname{Grass}^{19}(P_4)$ by $(\ell, \mathcal{I}, F) \mapsto (\ell H^0(\mathcal{I}(3)), F, H^0(\mathcal{I}(4)))$ and $f_2 : X \to \mathcal{X}_2 := \operatorname{Grass}^{18}(P_4) \times \operatorname{Grass}^{19}(P_4) \times \operatorname{Grass}^{20}(P_4)$ by $(\ell, \mathcal{I}, F) \mapsto (\ell H^0(\mathcal{I}(3)), F, \ell P_3)$. Put $\mathcal{F}_i := \{(F_1, F_2, F_3) \in \mathcal{X}_i | F_1 \subset F_2 \subset F_3\}$, and $X_i := f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_i), i = 1, 2$. Finally define $\pi_i : X_i \to \mathbb{P}(P_1) \times H_q$ by $(\ell, \mathcal{I}, F) \mapsto (\ell, \mathcal{I})$. Then π_i is surjective with fibres isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}((\ell_1, \ell_2, q)_4 / \ell(\ell_1, \ell_2, q)_3) \cong \mathbb{P}^{14}$ and to $\mathbb{P}(\ell P_3 / \ell(\ell_1, \ell_2, q)_3) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, respectively.

One sees that $(\ell(\ell_1, \ell_2, q), p) \mapsto (\ell, (\ell_1, \ell_2, q), \ell(\ell_1, \ell_2, q)_3 + p \cdot k)$ defines an isomorphism $R_4 \to X_1 \setminus X_2$ and one has:

Proposition 2.3. R_4 is a smooth quasiprojective variety of dimension 23.

2.3. **Description of** R_5 . One has $I_2 = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$. If any two of the $f_i \in P_2$ would not have a common divisor, then certainly $\mathcal{I} \notin R_5$. If every pair of these forms, but not all of them, would have a common divisor, then \mathcal{I} would define a twisted cubic in \mathbb{P}^3 . Thus $I_2 = \ell \cdot \langle \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \rangle$, and ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 are linear independent. If $L := (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3)$ then from $\dim(\ell L)_5 = 34$ it follows that $I_5 = \ell L_4 + \langle f, g \rangle$.

Suppose that $f \notin (\ell)$ and $f \notin L$. Then $fP_1 \cap \ell L_5 = (0)$ and $fP_2 \cap \ell L_6 = f\ell L_1$. It follows that:

(2.1)
$$\dim(\ell L_5 + f P_1) = 59$$

$$\dim(\ell L_6 + fP_2) = 90$$

Because of Q(6) = 60, from (2.1) it follows that

(2.3)
$$\dim[(\ell L_5 + f P_1) \cap g P_1] = 3.$$

By a theorem of Macaulay (cf. [Gre]) we deduce from (2.3) that $\dim[(\ell L_6 + fP_2) \cap gP_2] \ge 3^{(3)} = 9$. But then from (2.2) it follows that

$$\dim(\ell L_6 + fP_2 + gP_2) \le 90 + {5 \choose 3} - 9 = 91$$

contradiction, as Q(7) = 92.

Conclusion 2.4. One has $f \in (\ell)$ or $f \in L$.

Suppose that $f \in (\ell)$. From Conclusion 2.4 it follows that $g \in L$. One has $f = \ell p, p \in P_4$ but $p \notin L$. Then

$$\dim(\ell L_5 \cap f P_1) = 3.$$

Because of $g \notin (\ell)$ one has dim $\ell L_5 \cap gP_1 = 1$, and it follows that

(2.5)
$$\dim(\ell L_5 + q P_1) = 58.$$

Because of dim $(\ell L_5 + fP_1 + gP_1) = Q(6) = 60$ one has dim $[(\ell L_5 + gP_1) \cap fP_1] = 2$, contrary to (2.4).

Conclusion 2.5. One has $f \notin (\ell), g \notin (\ell)$ but $f \in L$ and $g \in L$.

Because of dim $I_6 = 60$, dim $\ell L_5 = 55$ and $\ell(f, g) \subset \ell L$ one gets:

Conclusion 2.6. There are linear forms r_1 and r_2 , which are not divisible by ℓ , such that $fr_1 + gr_2 \in \ell L$.

By applying a linear transformation one can achieve one of the following cases:

1st case: $\ell = x, L = (y, z, t)$

We put S = k[y, z, t]. Because of $f, g \in L$ one can assume without restriction that $f, g \in S_5$ and $r_1, r_2 \in S_1$. Then $fr_1 + gr_2 \in xL \iff fr_1 + gr_2 = 0 \Rightarrow f = \ell_1 h, g = \ell_2 h$, where $h \in S_4$ and $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in S_1$ are linear independent.

2nd case: $\ell = x, L = (x, y, z)$

Again S := k[y, z, t] and one can assume without restriction that $f = f' + \alpha x t^4, f' \in S_5, \alpha \in k$ and $g \in S_5$. Moreover one can assume that in the relation

$$(2.6) fr_1 + gr_2 \in x(x, y, z)$$

one has $r_1, r_2 \in S_1$. Then (2.6) is equivalent to

(2.7)
$$f'r_1 + gr_2 = 0$$
 and $\alpha r_1 \in (x, y, z)$.

We note that r_1 and r_2 are linear independent, because otherwise f' and g would be linear dependent, and by linearly combining f and g, we could achieve that $f = xt^4$, contradicting Conclusion 2.5. It follows that $f = \ell_1 h + \alpha x t^4$, $g = \ell_2 h$, $h \in S_4$, ℓ_1 , $\ell_2 \in S_1$ linear independent and $\alpha = 0$ or $\ell_2 \in \langle y, z \rangle$.

We now suppose that f and g have the shape described in the first or second case. Then $r = (\ell_2, -\ell_1)$ is a relation between f and g (modulo xL) in any case. One sees that any such relation has the form $p \cdot r, p \in S$. We conclude that dim $I_n = Q(n), n \geq 5$.

We now determine the dimension of R_5 . Put $q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-5+2}{2} + \binom{n-5+1}{1}$, and let H_q be the Hilbert scheme of ideals with Hilbert polynomial q(n), i.e. $H_q = H_{4,2}$. Define $\pi: R_5 \to H_q$ by $(\ell L, f, g) \mapsto (\ell, f, g)$. From the preceding argumentation one sees that the fibre of π over (ℓ, f, g) is equal to $\mathbb{P}^3 \setminus V_+(\ell)$ union a subset of $V_+(\ell) \times \mathbb{A}^1$, depending on (ℓ, f, g) . In any case, all fibres are 3-dimensional. The image of π is equal to the closed subset H_1 of H_q consisting of the ideals (ℓ, Lf) , where $\ell \in P_1, \langle \ell \rangle \subset L$ a 3-dimensional subspace of P_1 and $f \in P_4/\ell P_3$. One sees that dim $H_1 = 3 + 2 + \binom{4+2}{2} - 1 = 19$ and we get

$$\dim R_5 = 22.$$

2.4. **Description of** R_6 . One sees easily that R_6 is closed in **H** and consists of the ideals $(\ell, f(h, g))$ where $\ell \in P_1, f \in P_4/\ell P_3, h \in P_1/\ell \cdot k$ and $g \in P_2/(\ell, h)_2$. It follows that

$$\dim R_6 = 21.$$

3. The Reeves-Stillman component of H

One considers ideals of the form $\mathcal{I} = (\ell, f) \cap \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2, \ell \in P_1, f \in P_4/\ell P_3, \mathcal{P}_1$ and \mathcal{P}_2 prime ideals in P, which define two different closed points in $\mathbb{P}^3 \setminus (V_+(\ell) \cap V_+(f))$. Then \mathcal{I} has the Hilbert polynomial Q and the set of such ideals, i.e. the set of corresponding points in $\mathbf{H}(k)$ is denoted by \mathcal{F} . Then a beautiful theorem of Reeves and Stillman ([RS], thm. 1.4) applied to our special situation gives:

The closure of \mathcal{F} in \mathbf{H} is an irreducible component Z of \mathbf{H} , which we also denote by H_{RS} . The lexicographic point z, which corresponds to the ideal \mathcal{B}_6 , lies in Z and z is a smooth point (and therefore does not lie in any other component of \mathbf{H}). The dimension formula in ([RS], thm. 4.1), or more easily, a dimension count shows that dim Z = 23.

It is clear that Z is invariant under G = GL(4, k) and there is a maximal open subset Y of **H** which is contained in Z and which contains the point z.

In order to show that $R_5 \cup R_6 \subset Z$ we need some simple facts.

Remark 3.1. If $p \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ and $\overline{Gp} \cap R_6 \neq \emptyset$, then $p \in Y$.

Proof. There is a closed point in $\overline{Gp} \cap R_6$ which is B(4,k)-invariant and necessarily this point is equal to z. There is a $g \in G$ such that $gp \in Y$ and $p \in g^{-1}(Y) = Y$ follows. \square

Remark 3.2. $R_6 \subset Y$

Remark 3.3. $R_5 \subset \overline{Y}$

Proof. To begin with, let the point $p \in R_5$ correspond to an ideal \mathcal{I} as in the first case of (2.3). By applying a suitable linear transformation one can achieve that $\mathcal{I} =$

(t(x,y,z),f,g). As $f,g \in (x,y,z)$ one can assume without restriction that $f,g \in S_5$, where S=k[x,y,z]. By applying a linear transformation which involves only the variables x,y,z one can achieve that the initial monomials of f and g generate a 2-dimensional, B(3,k)-invariant subspace of S_5 . It follows that the initial monomials of f and g are g are g an

$$f = x^5 + x^4 f_1 + x^3 f_2 + x^2 f_3 + x f_4 + f_5$$
$$g = x^4 g_1 + x^3 g_2 + x^2 g_3 + x g_4 + g_5$$

where $f_i, g_i \in k[y, z]_i$ and $g_1 = y + az, a \in k$.

Let \mathbb{G}_a operate on P by $\psi_{\alpha}: t \mapsto -\alpha x + t$ and as identity on the remaining variables. From $V = V_{\alpha} := (-\alpha x + t)\langle x, y, z \rangle \subset \psi_{\alpha}(H^0(\mathcal{I}(2)))$ it follows that

$$tx \equiv \alpha x^2, \ ty \equiv \alpha xy, \ tz \equiv \alpha xz$$

modulo V. One deduces from this that

(3.1)
$$x^{i+1} \equiv \alpha^{-i}t^i x, x^i y \equiv \alpha^{-i}t^i y, x^i z \equiv \alpha^{-i}t^i z$$

modulo $P_{i-1} \cdot V$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, where $\alpha \in k^*$. Clearly f and g are invariant under this \mathbb{G}_a -operation. By using (3.1) it follows that

$$f \equiv \alpha^{-4}t^4x + \alpha^{-4}t^4f_1 + \dots + \alpha^{-1}tf_4 + f_5$$

$$g \equiv \alpha^{-4}t^4g_1 + \alpha^{-3}t^3g_2 + \dots + \alpha^{-1}tg_4 + g_5$$

modulo $P_3V \subset \psi_{\alpha}(H^0(\mathcal{I}(5)))$. Now, if \mathbb{G}_m operates on P by

$$\sigma(\lambda): x \mapsto x, y \mapsto \lambda^{-2}y, z \mapsto \lambda^{-2}z, t \mapsto t,$$

then V is invariant under this operation and one has

(3.2)
$$\sigma(\alpha)f \equiv \alpha^{-4}t^4x + \alpha^{-6}t^4f_1 + \dots + \alpha^{-9}tf_4 + \alpha^{-10}f_5 \\ \sigma(\alpha)g \equiv \alpha^{-6}t^4g_1 + \dots + \alpha^{-9}tg_4 + \alpha^{-10}g_5$$

if $\alpha \in k^*$.

The morphism $\tau : \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbf{H}$ defined by $\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{I}_{\alpha} := \psi_{\alpha} \circ \sigma(\alpha) \mathcal{I}$ has an extension $\overline{\tau} : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbf{H}$. Let $\mathcal{I}_{\infty} = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha} = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \mathcal{I}_{1/\alpha}$ be the "limit ideal", which corresponds to the point $\overline{\tau}(\infty) \in \mathbf{H}(k)$. From (3.2) it follows that

$$x\langle x,y,z\rangle\cdot P_3\oplus t^4x\cdot k\oplus t^4g_1\cdot k\subset H^0(\mathcal{I}_\infty(5))$$

and thus $x(x, y, z, t^4) \subset \mathcal{I}_{\infty}$. But then $x \in \mathcal{I}_{\infty}$ and the Hilbert function of \mathcal{I}_{∞} is greater than the Hilbert function φ_5 of \mathcal{I} . This shows that $\mathcal{I}_{\infty} \in R_6$, and by Remark (3.2) $p \in Y$ follows.

Now let the point p correspond to an ideal \mathcal{I} as in the second case of (2.3). Again one can assume that $\mathcal{I} = (x(x, y, z), f, g)$. Using the same notations as in (2.3) we can write $f = \ell_1 h + \alpha x t^4, g = \ell_2 h, \ell_1, \ell_2, h \in k[y, z, t]$.

Subcase 1: $\alpha \neq 0$

Then we let \mathbb{G}_m operate by $\sigma(\lambda): x \mapsto \lambda x$ and as the identity on the remaining variables. Then we get $\mathcal{I}_{\infty} := \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \sigma(\lambda) \mathcal{I} \supset x(x, y, z, t^4)$ and just like before $p \in Y$ follows. Subcase 2: $\alpha = 0$

By replacing one of the forms f and g by a suitable linear combination we can assume without restriction that $\ell_2 \in \langle y, z \rangle$. If we put $f_1 = \ell_1 h + xt$, then $(\ell_2, -\ell_2)$ is a relation between f_1 and g modulo x(x, y, z). But then the ideal $\mathcal{I}_1 = (x(x, y, z), f_1, g)$ is as in Subcase 1, and we have shown already that $\mathcal{I}_1 \in Y$ follows. As $\mathcal{I} = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sigma(\lambda) \mathcal{I}_1$, we conclude that $p \in \overline{Y}$.

Lemma 3.4. $R_5 \cup R_6 \subset \overline{Y} = H_{RS}$.

4. The Vainsencher-Avritzer component of H

The set of all curves in \mathbb{P}^3 , which are complete intersections of two quadrics is an open set $V \subset R_3$, which is isomorphic to an open subset of $\operatorname{Grass}^2(P_2)$. Thus V is irreducible of dimension 16. The closure \overline{V} in \mathbf{H} is an irreducible component of \mathbf{H} , which has been described by Vainsencher and Avritzer and which we denote by H_{VA} .

Using a similar argumentation as in (2.2) one can show that $R'_3 := R_3 \setminus V$ is a smooth variety of dimension 15. We want to show that $R'_3 \subset \overline{V}$. (Probably this can be read from the diagram on page 48 in [VA].).

Let be $\mathcal{I} = (\ell(\ell_1, \ell_2), F) \in R_3'$ and write $F = \ell_1 p + \ell_2 q, p, q \in P_2$ not divisible by ℓ (cf. Proposition 2.1). We put $f_{\alpha} := \ell \ell_1 + \alpha q, g_{\alpha} = \ell \ell_2 - \alpha p$, where α is a variable. If $\tau \in P$ is a divisor of f_{α} and g_{α} for an element $\alpha \in k^*$, then τ is a divisor of $\ell_2 f_{\alpha} - \ell_1 g_{\alpha} = \alpha F$. It follows that τ is an element of the finite set of divisors of F (modulo a constant factor, of course). Suppose there are infinite many $\alpha \in k$ such that f_{α} and g_{α} have a common divisor. Then there is a form $\tau \in P$ of positive degree such that $\mathcal{A} := \{\alpha \in k | \tau \text{ divides } f_{\alpha} \text{ and } g_{\alpha} \}$ is an infinite set. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \neq \beta$, then τ is a divisor of $f_{\alpha} - f_{\beta} = (\alpha - \beta)q$ and of $g_{\alpha} - g_{\beta} = (\beta - \alpha)p$. It follows that τ divides $\ell \ell_1, \ell \ell_2, p, q$. As ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are linear independent, it follows that $\tau = \ell$, contradiction. It follows that $A := \{\alpha \in k | f_{\alpha} \text{ and } g_{\alpha} \}$ have a common divisor} is a finite set and the morphism $\varphi : \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus A \to V \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}$ defined by $\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{I}_{\alpha} := (f_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha})$ has an extension $\overline{\varphi} : \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbf{H}$. From $\ell_2 f_{\alpha} - \ell_1 g_{\alpha} = \alpha F$ it follows $F \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}^1/A$. If \mathcal{I}_0 denotes the limit ideal $\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}$, i.e. the ideal corresponding to $\overline{\varphi}(0) \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, one sees that $(\ell \ell_1, \ell \ell_2, F) \subset \mathcal{I}_0$. As both ideals have the same Hilbert polynomial $(\ell \ell_1, \ell \ell_2, F) = \mathcal{I}_0$ follows. We get:

Lemma 4.1. The set of 3-regular ideals, which are not complete intersections, is contained in H_{VA} .

5. Proof of the theorem

One has $\mathbf{H} = R_3 \dot{\cup} (\overline{R}_4 \cup \overline{R}_5 \cup R_6)$ and we showed in the sections (2.2)–(2.4) and 4 that the dimensions of the subschemes are 16, 23, 22, 21, respectively. As H_{RS} is an irreducible component of dimension 23 containing $R_5 \cup R_6$ (Lemma 3.4), we deduce from Proposition 2.3 that $H_{RS} = \overline{R}_4$, and Lemma 4.1 gives finally $\mathbf{H} = H_{VA} \cup H_{RS}$.

References

- [F1] Fogarty, J.: Algebraic families on an algebraic surface. Amer. J. Math. 90, 511–521 (1968)
- [F2] —: Algebraic families on an algebraic surface, II. The Picard scheme of the punctual Hilbert scheme. Amer. J. Math. 95, 660–687 (1973)
- [Gre] Green, M.: Generic initial ideals. In: Six lectures on commutative algebra. Progress in Mathematics 166, 119–186, Birkhäuser, Basel (1998)
- [I] Iarrobino, A.: Punctual Hilbert schemes. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78, 819–823 (1972)
- [PS] Piene, R., Schlessinger, M.: On the Hilbert scheme compactification of the space of twisted cubics. Amer. J. Math. 107, 766–774 (1985)
- [RS] Reeves, A., Stillman, M.: Smoothness of the lexicographic point. J. Algebraic Geometry 6, 235–246 (1997)
- [VA] Vainsencher, I., Avritzer, D.: Compactifying the space of elliptic quartic curves. In: Complex projective geometry, London Math. Soc. LNS 179, Cambridge Univ. Press (1992)

ISSELSTR. 34, D-48431 RHEINE

E-mail address: g.gotzmann@t-online.de